



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 14

Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.  
Intellectual Property Department  
One Technology Parkway, South  
Norcross, GA 30092-2967

**COPY MAILED**

**FEB 26 2003**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Arturo A. Rodriguez, et al.  
Application No. 09/736,661  
Filed: December 14, 2000  
Attorney Docket No. A-6280

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed January 6, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.


The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed April 10, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on July 11, 2002.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.


There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Cheryl Gibson-Baylor at (703)308-5111, or in her absence, Sherry D. Brinkley at (703)305-9220.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 2600, Art Unit 2613 for processing the Request for Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114, filed on January 6, 2003.



Cheryl Gibson-Baylor  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy



Sherry D. Brinkley  
Petitions Examiner

cc: Jeffrey R. Kuester  
Thomas, Kayden Horstemeyer & Risley LLP  
100 Galleria Parkway  
Suite 1750  
Atlanta, GA 30339